THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

TWELFTH DAY

Tuesday, 14th March 1961

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore at One of the Clock.

MR. SPEAKER (Sri S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given)

GRANT OF RELIEF TO THE RELATIVES OF THE BOY DIED AT KURUBARA HOSTEL, BANGALORE.

*Q.—25. Sri B. S. SHANKARAPPA (Hosadurga).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether it is not a fact that relief is given to a boy who died at Kurubara Hostel, Bangalore, during the disturbances when the Youth Festival was held in Mysore ;

(*b*) the amount paid to the parents and wife of the boy who died ;

(*c*) the extent of coconut garden and other lands owned by the parents at Attena Village, Tiptur Taluk ?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Home).

(*a*) Relief was given to the father and the wife of the deceased, Sri Ganganna.

(*b*) (1) Sri Nitturaiah (Father)—Rs. 5,000.

(2) Smt. Rukmini Devi (Wife)—Rs. 5,000.

(*c*) It is reported that the family of the deceased owns lands (including coconut garden) at Hathna Village, Tiptur Taluk, as follows :—

		Acres	*Guntas*
1.	Dry lands ...	9	8
2.	Bagayet lands (Coconut gardens) ...	2	24
3.	Wet lands ...	1	5
	Total ...	12	37

Sri B. S. SHANKARAPPA.—May I know the specific reason why this relief has been given to the parents of the deceased ?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The specific reason is, it was intended to give relief.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—May I know who is responsible whether the Government or the Police Department, for the death of this boy ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There is no question of responsibility on the part of the Government or the police or anything of this kind. The question is : whether it was a fact that such a relief was given and that was given.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.— When the Government or the department were not held responsible for the death of this boy, may I know what is the principle or the policy that the Government has followed in just giving this Rs. 5,000/- to the wife and father of the deceased ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—On purely humane consideration of the circumstances and also the consideration of compassionate.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Do you extend the same compassionate to all the persons ? I want to know the circumstances under which this case was considered favourably.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The circumstance is : that representations were made ; were heard and Government did consider the entire question and felt that certain amount of compassionate relief was necessary and it was given.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Who were responsible for the death of this boy ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That is a different issue. If the Hon'ble Member were to put a question at the appropriate time, I will answer.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Are there any grounds of relief sanctioned to any other parties in this case ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There was another case in Mysore, for which such a relief was given.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—May I know the relief that was granted to the party when that casuality took place in Mysore ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I am speaking from memory—it was about Rs. 2,500/-.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Is there provision under which such reliefs can be granted ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There in no provsion of law. The only provision is, the compassionate was found to be necessary.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—Under what head you have given this relief ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Under Miscellaneous.

---

† Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—May I know the name of the milk man who died at Mysore ? Had he wife and children or he was alone ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That is a matter of detail which does not pertain to this question. I may tell the Hon'ble Member that he had wife and children.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—What prompted the Government to give compensation to the father of the deceased ; whereas in the case of Mysore milk man, no compensation was given ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—They are all matters of detail. I cannot answer any question which is not relevant to the fact.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know if there are any precedents of this type in the case of Government since 1957 or approximately ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I cannot tell straightaway off hand.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—What is the policy in fixing the quantum of compensation ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The policy depends upon the circumstances of the case.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಹತ್ತು ಸಹಸ್ರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಸನ್ನಿವೇಶ ವೇನು ? ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಕೇಸಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎರಡು ಸಾವಿರ ಕೊಡಲು ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ರಿಲೀಫ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬಂದಾಗ, ಆಯಾಯಾ ಕೇಸಿನ ಸರ್ಕಮ್‌ಸ್ಟೆನ್ಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಅವಲಂಬಿಸುರುತ್ತವೆ. ಸತ್ತವರ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗತಿಗಳು, ಅವರ ಜೀವನದ ಆಂತಸ್ತು, ಡಿಪೆಂಡೆಂಟುಗಳ ಸರ್ಕಮ್‌ಸ್ಟೆನ್ಸ್, ಮುಂತಾದುವುಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಮಗ್ರವಾಗಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಿಲೀಫ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿತು.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that he was the first class B.E. student and the compensation you have awarded is very meagre ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That is a matter of opinion.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SETTY.—Is it a fact that high compensation was paid that he was the first class student and the only son to his father ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The presumption of the Hon'ble Member is not correct.

Sri B. S. SHANKARAPPA.—What is the property of the man who died at Mysore ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—If a separate question were to be put, I will answer.

Sri B. S. SHANKARAPPA.—Is it not a fact that it is only on account of influence this boy was paid so much of compensation ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There is no question of influence coming into play in a matter of this kind where relief has been prayed for.

Sri B. S. SHANKARAPPA.—Is it not a fact that on the day when this matter was discussed, the Members of the opposition made a number of representations to Government to pay compensation?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The question of compensation does not arise here because it is not a compensation, it is merely a relief. But at whose instance, this prayer for relief came is a matter which is not of serious concern. Whether any relief was needed is the point. I don't mind even if the opposition make a suggesion which is meant for help, I have absolutely no objection to take it.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—Is it not a fact that this relief was given by Government because the Police were at fault?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—That is absolutely incorrect inference on the part of the Hon'ble. Member.

Dr. A. R. KARISIDDAPPA.—What is the cause for the death of the boy?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—If the Hon'ble Member puts a different question, I will answer.....

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Those details have been already supplied to the Hon'ble Members and there is no use of repeating them.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ರೇವಣಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಲು ಮಾರುವವನಿಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಹಾನ್ಸ್‌ಡನ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೇನೋ ನಡೆದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.— ತಮ್ಮ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯೊಳಗೆ ಏನಾದರೂ ಇಡ್ಕೋ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥದೆಲ್ಲ ನಡೆದಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—What are the ingredients or principles that you have taken into consideration to pay Rs. 10,000 to the parents of this boy and only Rs. 2,500 to the man who died by police bullet in Mysore?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I would like to make it clear that it is not a value for the life that has gone and it is not a compensation. But unfortunately, the Members who are questioning seem to be under the impression that we are making good for what is lost. That is not the correct thing. The circumstances under which he died and the number of dependents he had, come into the picture. So, all these matters come into consideration and depending on that, we have given that relief.

Sri Y. VEERAPPA.—Why did not the Government extend the same quantum of compensation to the Mysore man?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—As I said before, the circumstances are different and the standard of living is different and the number of dependents are different.